UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,755	08/09/2006	Yoshiaki Sonobe	M4782	6031
	7590 11/08/201 GITAL CORPORATIO	EXAMINER		
	Y NING / IP LAW DE	HARRIS, GARY D		
3355 MICHELSON DRIVE, SUITE 100 IRVINE, CA 92612			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1727	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/08/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/576,755	SONOBE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
GARY HARRIS	1727		

	GARY HARRIS	1/2/	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FINOT NEFLT WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further con			coaasc
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	,	,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a $lpha$	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5,6,11 and 12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See continuation sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Mark Ruthkosky/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1785	/G. H./Gary Harris		
Copo. vicory i atom Examinor, fat offic 1700	Examiner, Art Unit 1727		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 11:

The incorporation of the new limitations into claim 1 results in new embodiments not previously considered, e.g. claim 6 & 11 did not previously require the spacer layer selected from a group consisting of a Pd layer and a Pt layer between the ferromagnetic layer having no granular structure.